From:

**Sent:** March 19, 2021 10:31 AM

**To:** Office of the Legislative Counsel

Subject: Stop Bill 4

## \*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL / COURRIEL EXTERNE \*\*

Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links / Faites preuve de prudence si vous ouvrez une pièce jointe ou cliquez sur un lien

To whom it may concern

I am writing to you today about my concerns for bill 4. There is no, clear stated purpose for the Act. Why does this Act exist? A bill to "protect biodiversity" is so vague that it could mean anything. Unlike Acts to protect "Species at Risk" or establish "Parks and Protected Places", this Bill speaks of nothing specific and threatens all sorts of specific consequences for landowners without clear goals to follow. It mentions animals, plants and organisms as comprising our biodiversity without indicating any priority or value to them based on any factor such as ecological importance or prevalence. Is one spore the same as another spore? Are some spores worth protecting while others aren't? Who get's to decide?

This act puts the control of private landowners in the hands of activist and politicians which is un acceptable.

Thank you for reading my letter I hope you take my thoughts into consideration.

Have a good day

Sincerely,

Jamie Maclean

Freeman Scalehouse scalehouse@freemanlumber.com (902) 685 3388 ext 247