

## McDonald, David S

---

**From:** Justice Minister  
**Sent:** November-06-14 8:40 AM  
**To:** LeBlanc-Murray, Nicole M  
**Subject:** FW: Bill 64 - Limitations of Actions Act - Urgent  
**Attachments:** Minister of Justice Re Bill 64 Limitation of Actions Act.pdf

**From:** Nova Scotia Native Women [<mailto:nativewomen.ns@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 05, 2014 3:59 PM  
**To:** Justice Minister  
**Cc:** Premier; Cheryl Maloney; Cheryl Copage  
**Subject:** Bill 64 - Limitations of Actions Act - Urgent

Dear Honourable Minister Lena Metlege Diab,

Please see attached letter from Cheryl Maloney, President of Nova Scotia Native Women's Association. Could you please have your secretary confirm you have received our fax or email by emailing [nativewomen.ns@gmail.com](mailto:nativewomen.ns@gmail.com)


Thank you,

Corrina Smiley  
*Administrative Assistant*  
**Nova Scotia Native Women's Association**

*PO Box 805  
Truro, NS  
B2N 5E8*

Tel: (902) 893-7402  
Fax: (902) 897-7162  
Email: [nativewomen.ns@gmail.com](mailto:nativewomen.ns@gmail.com)

cc: The Honourable Stephen McNeil, Premier of Nova Scotia



# NOVA SCOTIA NATIVE WOMEN'S ASSOCIATION

November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2014

The Honourable Lena Metlege Diab  
Minister of Justice, Province of Nova Scotia  
1690 Hollis Street, P.O. Box 7  
Halifax, NS  
B3J 2L6

Dear Minister Diab:

**RE: Bill 64 - Limitation of Actions Act**

Please accept the following submissions on behalf of the Nova Scotia Native Women's Association on Bill 64, the **Limitations of Actions Act**.

The Nova Scotia Native Women's Association (NSNWA) was formed in 1977 and is governed by an elected 13 member Board of Directors from the Mi'kmaq communities. NSNWA has an elected Executive Council, which consists of President, 3 Vice-Presidents, Treasurer and Secretary. The mandate of the organization is to address the social, political, emotional, health concerns and aspirations of Mi'kmaq Women. We are well positioned to address the potential impacts of the proposed legislation on our Aboriginal clients.

We are concerned about the lack of protection in the proposed legislation for Aboriginal claims based on breach of fiduciary duty and other equitable claims against the Federal and Provincial government. These claims often arise more than 15 years after the events took place. Some date back to the early 1900's. While section 4 of the proposed legislation provides that the Act will not apply to Aboriginal and Treaty Rights that are protected by section 35 of the **Constitution Act, 1982**, it is not clear that this will be interpreted to extend to claims based on breach of fiduciary duty and other equitable grounds.

We note that the Uniform Working Group which drafted the Uniform Limitations Act warned in its report to the Uniform Law Commission of Canada that it did not have time to consider the application of the uniform legislation on specific types of claims. It named environmental and real property as two examples:

"7. Due to time limitations, the Working Group did not engage in a review of specific claims that should be subject to limitation periods that are different from those set out in the draft Act. However, the Working Group notes that the limitation period in the *Uniform International Sales Conventions Act* will need to be listed in the schedule if that Act is to be adopted. The Working Group also notes that several jurisdictions in Canada have set out special limitation periods for environmental claims and the Conference is currently considering what applicable limitations rules should apply to claims in the insurance area. Time limitations also prevented the Working Group from fully exploring the applicable limitations rules for real property proceedings and those dealing with prescriptive rights."

P.O. Box 805, Truro, Nova Scotia, B2N 5E8

Telephone: 902.893.7402 Fax: 902.897.7162

We submit that impact of the proposed legislation on Aboriginal claims other than those protected by s. 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982 is one of those areas that requires closer analysis by the Province.

The Ontario limitations legislation specifically exempted equitable claims by Aboriginal peoples against the Crown by adding the following language:

"2.(1) This Act applies to claims pursued in court proceedings other than,

(f) proceedings based on equitable claims by aboriginal peoples against the Crown."

We urge the government to do likewise in Nova Scotia limitations legislation.

We are further concerned that the Province may not have fully consulted with the Mi'kmaq of Nova Scotia on the potential impact of this legislation on them.

Further, First Nation lands have been historically exposed to environmental contamination as many industries and utilities have been located next to Reserves and traditional Aboriginal territory. We are concerned that the current legislation does not deal adequately with environmental claims and this will disproportionately affect Aboriginal clients. Many environmental claims will only become discoverable more than 15 years after the error or omission that caused them. Those claims will be barred by the current legislation.


We suggest that environmental claims that, by their nature, are undiscoverable by the claimant not be subject to the 15 year ultimate limitation period. The rule of discoverability ought to govern. If defendants want to trigger the start of the limitation periods they can provide the information to potential claimants to make them aware of a claim. The change we recommend would put the onus on defendants to do so if they want an early limitation period. Defendants can insure against such claims whereas claimants cannot. The proposed change would also respect the polluter pays principle.

Finally, the Mi'kmaq indigenous legal culture does not recognize limitation periods. Justice takes place no matter when something arises. Many First Nations and their members lack the resources to access legal advice. Many First Nation governments are underfunded and overburdened. Shorter limitation periods will mean the some claims will be barred.

We urge the government to maintain the historic role of the courts to allow claims that are meritorious to proceed even when a limitation period have been missed where it is just and equitable to do so.

In summary, we urge the government to ensure that equitable claims by Aboriginal peoples against the Crown be specifically exempted from the operation of the Act, that environmental claims that by their nature cannot be detected by a claimant and only manifest themselves after many years be excluded from the effect of the 15 year ultimate limitation period and that the courts be given the ability to allow meritorious claims to proceed after a limitation period expires where it is just to do so.

Yours very truly,



Cheryl Maloney  
President

cc: The Honourable Stephen McNeil  
Premier of Nova Scotia