

McDonald, David S

From: Shawn Benteau <shawn.benteau@gmail.com>
Sent: October-31-14 10:16 PM
To: Office of the Legislative Counsel
Subject: Bill 60 and Nebulizers

To whom it may concern

In light of the recent activity regarding Bill 60, I have been curious as to whether or not travel nebulizers are going to fall under the umbrella. Nebulizers create a mist or vapour out of a liquid drug to be administered by inhaling, almost identically to a vaporizer. There is still exhaled remnants, most often with more complicatory drugs than nicotine. The only difference is that nebulizers use pressure or ultrasonics to create the vapour. Would e-cigs no longer fall under Bill 60 in the event that they take the form of an ultrasonic travel nebulizer? Would ultrasonic nebulizers fall under Bill 60 as they heat the mist as part of the preparation? I believe that legislation is being rushed to regulate e-cigs for the sake of regulating e-cigs. In the case that it is in the interest of public safety, I am concerned some spots may have been glazed over. In final effect, nebulizers and e-cigarettes are identical. They are drug delivery systems that use vapour as a medium, and I am concerned that some of the proposed risks of e-cigarettes may be unawaredly present in nebulizers. Conversely, if there is a way to design an e-cigarette that is more like a nebulizer, and if it were to be an even safer alternative to current vaping methods while retaining the same enjoyment factor, I believe Canada should lead the charge as we are wont to do in the public health sector.

Thank you
Shawn Benteau